

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/790,081	03/02/2004	Seong-Bong Kim	8054L-204T 2543			
7590 06/05/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
F. Chau & Associates, LLC 130 Woodbury Road Woodbury, NY 11797			LAMB, BF	LAMB, BRENDA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1734			
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action		10/790,081	KIM ET AL.			
. س	Before th	Filing of an App al Brief	Examin r	Art Unit			
			Brenda A. Lamb	1734			
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the c ver sh et with the correspondenc address							
THE	HE REPLY FILED 19 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
•	 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
	TWO MON	Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
	Newly propos	eply has overcome the following rejection(s) sed or amended claim(s) would be a claim(s)		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allow Claim(s) object Claim(s) reject	cted to:					
AFFI	Claim(s) withd	rawn from consideration: HER EVIDENCE					
	The affidavit o because application	r other evidence filed after a final action, bucant failed to provide a showing of good an presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
	entered becaushowing a good	r other evidence filed after the date of filing use the affidavit or other evidence failed to out and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).		
REQ	UEST FOR RE	or other evidence is entered. An explanatio CONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
	See Continua				nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Dother:							

.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach coating device rather teaches marking devices is found to be non-persuasive since the prior art devices apply a non-uniform layer of material on the substrate thereby reading on a non-uniform coating of a substrate. Applicant's argument that the prior art references do not disclose coating by the unit substrate is found to be non-persuasive. First of all the examiner maintains the rejection of claim 34 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph since the term "by" as defined by The American Heritage Dictionary, Second Dictionary refers to "with the help or use of, through" and therefore "coating of the photosensitive layer on the substrate" occurs with the help or use of the discharging unit. In any event, the Kaya spraying device is capable of coating a certain area/unit/unit substrate of the substrate via a pivoting portion of the coating spray device which enables one to mark/coat an area of the substrate (see column 3 lines 6-9).

BREN A. LAMB PRIMARY EXAMINER